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The focus of this guide is to present the array of general revenue options legally available to local government officials in North Carolina to fund their desired mix of services and activities.
About the Series

Local Government Board Builders offers local elected leaders practical advice on how to effectively lead and govern. Each of the booklets in this series provides a topic overview, specific tips on effective practice, and worksheets and reflection questions to help local elected leaders improve their work. The series focuses on common activities for local governing boards, such as selecting and appointing committees and advisory boards, planning for the future, making better decisions, improving board accountability, and effectively engaging stakeholders in public decisions.

Vaughn Mamlin Upshaw, lecturer in public administration and government at the UNC School of Government, is the series editor.

Other Books in the Series

Leading Your Governing Board: A Guide for Mayors and County Board Chairs, Vaughn Mamlin Upshaw, 2009

A Model Code of Ethics for North Carolina Local Elected Officials, A. Fleming Bell, II, 2010

Creating and Maintaining Effective Local Government Citizen Advisory Committees, Vaughn Mamlin Upshaw, 2010

Working with Nonprofit Organizations, Margaret Henderson, Lydian Altman, Suzanne Julian, Gordon P. Whitaker, Eileen R. Youens, 2010

The School of Government at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill works to improve the lives of North Carolinians by engaging in practical scholarship that helps public officials and citizens understand and improve state and local government. Established in 1931 as the Institute of Government, the School provides educational, advisory, and research services for state and local governments. The School of Government is also home to a nationally ranked graduate program in public administration and specialized centers focused on information technology, environmental finance, and civic education for youth.

As the largest university-based local government training, advisory, and research organization in the United States, the School of Government offers up to 200 courses, seminars, and specialized conferences for more than 12,000 public officials each year. In addition, faculty members annually publish approximately fifty books, book chapters, bulletins, and other reference works related to state and local government. Each day that the General Assembly is in session, the School produces the Daily Bulletin, which reports on the day’s activities for members of the legislature and others who need to follow the course of legislation.

The Master of Public Administration Program is a full-time, two-year program that serves up to sixty students annually. It consistently ranks among the best public administration graduate programs in the country, particularly in city management. With courses ranging from public policy analysis to ethics and management, the program educates leaders for local, state, and federal governments and nonprofit organizations.

Operating support for the School of Government’s programs and activities comes from many sources, including state appropriations, local government membership dues, private contributions, publication sales, course fees, and service contracts. Visit www.sog.unc.edu or call 919.966.5381 for more information on the School’s courses, publications, programs, and services.

Michael R. Smith, Dean
Thomas H. Thornburg, Senior Associate Dean
Frayda S. Bluestein, Associate Dean for Faculty Development
Todd A. Nicolet, Associate Dean for Operations
Ann Cary Simpson, Associate Dean for Development and Communications
Bradley G. Volk, Associate Dean for Administration

FACULTY

Gregory S. Allison            Willow S. Jacobson            William C. Rivenbark
David N. Ammons               Robert P. Joyce               Dale J. Rovenigk
Ann M. Anderson               Kenneth L. Joyner            John Rubin
A. Fleming Bell, II            Diane M. Juffras             Jessica Smith
Maureen M. Berner              Dona G. Lewandowski          Karl W. Smith
Mark F. Botts                 James M. Markham            Carl W. Stenberg III
Michael Crowell               Janet Mason                 John B. Stephens
Shea Rigsbee Denning          Christopher B. McLaughlin  Charles Szypszak
James C. Drennan              Laurie L. Mesibov            Shannon H. Tufts
Richard D. Ducker             Kara A. Millionzi         Vaughn Upshaw
Joseph S. Ferrell             Jill D. Moore                Aimee N. Wall
Alyson A. Grine               Jonathan Q. Morgan         Jeffrey B. Welty
Norma Houston                 Ricardo S. Morse            Richard B. Whisnant
Cheryl Daniels Howell          C. Tyler Mulligan          Gordon P. Whitaker
Jeffrey A. Hughes             David W. Owens              Eileen R. Youens

© 2011
School of Government
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Use of this publication for commercial purposes or without acknowledgment of its source is prohibited. Reproducing, distributing, or otherwise making available to a non-purchaser the entire publication, or a substantial portion of it, without express permission, is prohibited.

Printed in the United States of America

This publication is printed on permanent, acid-free paper in compliance with the North Carolina General Statutes.

Printed on recycled paper
# Contents

## Introduction 1

- How to Use This Guidebook 2
- Nonlegal Considerations 3
  
  **WORKSHEET: Questions for Discussion** 4

## The Big Picture 5

  **WORKSHEET: Questions for Discussion** 6

## Local Taxes 7

- The Property Tax 7
  
  Counties and Municipalities Authorized to Levy 7

- Local-Option Sales and Use Taxes 9
  
  Counties Authorized to Levy, Share Proceeds with Municipalities 9

- Privilege License Taxes 11
  
  Counties and Municipalities Authorized to Levy 11

## Other Local Taxes 12

  **WORKSHEET: Questions for Discussion** 13

## Local Fees, Charges, and Assessments 15

- General User Fees and Charges 15
  
  Counties and Municipalities Authorized to Assess 15

- Regulatory Fees 15
  
  Counties and Municipalities Authorized to Assess 15

- Public Enterprise Fees and Charges 16
  
  Counties and Municipalities Authorized to Assess 16

- Franchise Fees 17
  
  Counties and MunicipalitiesAuthorized to Assess 17

- Special Assessments 17
  
  **WORKSHEET: Questions for Discussion** 19
State-Shared Revenue 21

Video Programming Services Taxes 21
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 21

Beer and Wine Taxes 22
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 22

Solid Waste Tipping Tax 22
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 22

911 Charge 23
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 23

Real Estate Transfer Tax 24
  Available to Counties Only 24

Disposal Taxes 24
  Available to Counties Only 24

Electric Franchise Tax 24
  Available to Municipalities Only 24

Telecommunications Tax 24
  Available to Municipalities Only 24

Piped Natural Gas Tax 25
  Available to Municipalities Only 25

Motor Fuels Tax (Powell Bill Funds) 25
  Available to Municipalities Only 25

Miscellaneous Revenue Sources 27

Alcohol Beverage Control Store Profits 27
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 27

Investment Earnings 27
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 27

Grants 28
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 28

Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures 28
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 28

Minor Revenue Sources 29
  Available to Counties and Municipalities 29

Public Involvement in the Revenue Process 31

Appendix 1: Additional Resources 33

Appendix 2: Municipal Service Districts 35

Appendix 3: Special Assessments 37
Introduction

One major responsibility for a local governing board is to identify, and generate or obtain, sufficient revenue to cover the costs of the services it wishes to provide for its citizens. Counties legally are required to provide for and fund (at least a portion of) certain state-mandated activities, including public schools, social services programs, mental health programs, courts, jail facilities, registers of deeds, and building code enforcement. But counties also are authorized to provide many other services, ranging from zoning and land use planning to water and sewer utilities, recreation and cultural activities, economic development activities, and beyond. Municipalities legally are required to provide only a single service: building code enforcement. Like counties, though, municipalities are authorized to provide a wide array of services. In fact, with a few notable exceptions, counties and municipalities legally are authorized to provide, and fund, most of the same services. The actual mix of services that any single government chooses to offer, however, varies significantly across the state and depends on a number of factors.

Some municipalities, for example, incorporate only to avoid annexation by another municipality. These units typically provide only a bare minimum level of services. Many municipalities, however, provide an ever-increasing number of services for the benefit of their citizens. In fact, municipalities typically provide high levels of some services that are needed in more congested or urban areas, such as fire protection, law enforcement, solid waste collection, water and sewer services, and street maintenance. Other municipalities provide a mix of services that reflect their unique geographical, cultural, and socio-economic nature. And most municipalities take an active role in regulating and directing land use and development.

With respect to counties, some limit their role to essentially functioning as an arm of the state, providing only state-mandated services. These services, particularly education, health, and welfare services, generally are needed by citizens in both urban and rural areas. Many counties, though, provide at least some additional services, and several provide many of the same services in unincorporated areas as municipalities provide in incorporated areas.
No matter where a unit of government falls on the service-providing spectrum, it is important for local elected officials to understand the full range of available revenue-raising mechanisms. This guidebook provides a survey of the general revenue sources available to local governments in North Carolina. Which funding mechanism(s) a local government chooses has very different legal implications as to who can be charged and what procedures must be followed. If a local government unit chooses to fund its services through a property tax, for example, then tax-exempt entities, such as religious organizations, state agencies, educational institutions, and federal facilities, typically are not obligated to pay. Furthermore, there may be restrictions on the tax rate and, under certain circumstances, a voter approval requirement. On the other hand, if a user fee approach is used, the rate structure must be reasonable and bear some relationship to the service being provided to each individual user.

How to Use This Guidebook
The guidebook is intended as a general overview of basic information about each of the major revenue sources. Specifically, for each revenue source the guidebook identifies the type of local government (county, municipality, or both) authorized to employ the revenue-raising mechanism, specifies any special eligibility or procedural requirements, and describes generally for what purposes the revenue may be expended. The proceeds of many revenue sources may be used for any authorized public purpose. That means that a unit may use the revenue to fund any services, projects, and activities that benefit the unit’s citizens generally and in which the

Public Purpose Limitation
Article V, Section 2(1), of the North Carolina Constitution states that “[t]he power of taxation shall be exercised . . . for public purposes only.” Although the quoted language speaks of the “power of taxation,” the North Carolina courts have interpreted the reach of this constitutional provision much more broadly. The basic limitation governs the use of all revenues of a local government, no matter what their source.

The public purpose limitation requires that all local government revenues be spent for the benefit of a unit’s citizens generally and not for the sole benefit of any single individual or entity.

That does not mean that an individual citizen or entity cannot directly benefit from the expenditure of public funds, but any individual benefit must be secondary to the benefit that inures to the unit’s citizens generally. For example, the North Carolina Supreme Court has upheld against a public purpose challenge the use of local government revenues to make cash grants and provide other incentives to corporate and industrial entities for the purpose of economic (continued on page 3)
unit has statutory authority to engage. Some revenue sources, however, are statutorily restricted for expenditure only for certain, limited purposes.

This guidebook does not detail all of the legal requirements or mechanics of employing each revenue source. Governing board officials should consult local counsel, staff members, or other experts for more specific information about each revenue source, including statutory requirements, limitations, and procedures. Additional UNC School of Government resources also are available and are listed in Appendix 1.

Nonlegal Considerations
As a threshold matter, a local government must possess the legal authority to employ a particular revenue-raising mechanism. That authority generally derives from the North Carolina General Assembly, in the form of general laws (applicable to all local governments or, at least, all local governments of a particular type) or local laws (applicable to only one or a few jurisdictions).

Once a local governing board determines that it has legal authority to employ a particular combination of revenue sources, the inquiry does not end; it is only the beginning. A governing board’s financing strategy must meet its needs in terms of revenue generation and be consistent with the community’s perceptions and resources. Thus there are a number of nonlegal considerations that local officials must factor into determining the appropriate revenue mix for their jurisdictions. Such considerations include, but are not limited to, how much money a revenue source is likely to raise and its ease of administration; the degree of control over the amount and timing of a revenue source that may be exercised by the governing board; the stability of the revenue source, as well as its potential for growth over time; the equity or fairness (who pays) of the revenue source; whether it is progressive or regressive; and its political feasibility.
These factors vary across the available revenue categories. For example, a particular funding source may generate a significant amount of revenue and be relatively easy to administer, but it may not be perceived as fair or equitable and thus may not be politically feasible. Another revenue source may distribute the cost burden equitably, and may even provide an incentive for individuals to conserve limited government resources, but it may not be stable or sustainable over time.

Understanding the policy or strategic implications of a particular revenue source is equally as important as knowing its legal requirements and restrictions. Local government officials need to be familiar with both the legal and practical differentiations among the various funding mechanisms and select the appropriate mix of funding sources.

WORKSHEET: Questions for Discussion

What services or programs does your local government provide that are required by law? What optional services or programs does your local government provide?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

What are your board’s revenue generation goals? For example, which of the “nonlegal” considerations (revenue maximization, equity, stability, growth, control, and so forth) is most important to you? Which is least important?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________